

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMIT United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Bex 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/055,127 10/29/2001 Kimikazu Matsumoto NEC N1128 9127 08/28/2003 7590

Norman P. Soloway HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY GROSSMAN & HAGE, P.C. 175 Canal Street Manchester, NH 03101

EXAMINER LATTIN, CHRISTOPHER W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2812 DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)		
		10/055,127		MATSUMOTO, KIMIKAZU		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Christopher W l	_attin	2812		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply		VIC CET TO EV	DIDE A MONTH	C) FDOM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	July 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is F	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is	s/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examin-	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified o	copies of the priority documen	its have been rec	eived.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional ap	olication).	
a)	ion of the foreign language pr is made of a claim for domes	ovisional applicat	ion has been rec	eived.	,	
Attachment(s)			<b>00</b> = -			
	d (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office A	action Summary		Part of Paper No. 0	8222002	

Art Unit: 2812

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al. (U.S. Patent 6,310,672) in view of Akutsu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,224,735) and Matsumoto et al. (U.S. Patent 6,414,732).

Koike et al. teach panel, and the method for making the panel, with a first substrate including a black matrix defining openings, color filter layers disposed in said openings and a piece of material between the black matrix and color filter layers that is separated from a second substrate with electrodes for generating electric fields by a liquid crystal layer, but is silent regarding the resistivity of the color filter and the piece of material. Akutsu et al. provide one teaching of the common knowledge that color filters are typically of relatively high resistivity, e.g. 10<sup>8</sup> ohm-cm. Matsumoto et al. teach forming an overlying planarization layer (e.g. 14) with a resistivity of 10<sup>16</sup> ohm-cm. See column 6, line 14. Matsumoto et al. also teach the well-known fact that the resin must have a resistivity higher than the color filter layers and a thickness sufficient to prevent leakage to the LCD layer. See column 4 line 50. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to form an overcoat layer with a higher resistivity than the color filter layer or the black matrix.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims as indicated in the previous office action.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art and official notice are insufficient to teach an overlying layer with a higher resistivity than the color filter layer. Matsumoto et al. have been cited to replace the official notice and provide the well-known teaching referred to in the previous rejection. The rejection is maintained as final as the Matsumoto et al. reference merely substantiates the official notice previously taken.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/055,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Lattin whose telephone number is (703) 305-3017. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling, can be reached at (703) 308-3325. The fax numbers for this Group are (703) 872-9318 for responses to non-final actions and (703) 872-9319 responses to final actions.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

CWL ~\_\_\_\_\_ August 22, 2003